



Sentinel EO-based Emission and Deposition Service

# Alternative approaches to derive emissions from satellite data

Henk Eskes (KNMI)



Koninklijk Nederlands nisterie van Infrastructuur en Water



### **TROPOMI NO2 over Europe**

From TROPOMI NO2 maps we can derive emission estimates for:

- cities
- highways
- ships (routes + individual cargo ships)
- airports
- various types of industries:
  power plants, vertiliser,
  (petro)chemical, cement ...



### Why use satellite data for knowledge on emissions?



#### Strong points:

- Daily measurements (for NO2 about 1 per 2 days due to clouds): detect sudden changes in emissions within a few days (e.g. COVID-19 lockdown related) Real-time emission estimates.
- \* **Full coverage**, not limited to station locations only: total emission budgets.
- \* Gradients in **total column** are a direct measure of **emissions** Daily pollution **plumes** can be analysed to provide emission estimates.
- Very little noise in NO2, TROPOMI: we can analyse daily data. (For HCHO / NH3 noise is larger - averaging in space / time)

#### Limitations:

- \* Only **one overpass** per day, close to noon time, **cloud-free**, resolution of about 5 km. TROPOMI observations need to be complemented with diurnal profiles.
- \* No direct emission **sector information**, but can be derived indirectly from spatial distribution

Future: Geostationary satellite observations over Europe with **Sentinel-4** (launce 2024)

**SEEDS** has performed a case study for Sentinel-4 potential using TROPOMI data at high latitudes

### **Emission estimates from satellites: different approaches**

#### Three groups of approaches:

- > Plume analysis methods
- > Flux divergence approach
- > Inverse modelling approaches



### **Emission estimates #1: plume analysis**







Plume fit depending on

- emission strength,
- plume width,
- NO2 lifetime

#### Emission distribution within megacity

Estimating NOx emissions of Paris Lorente et al., Nature Sci. Rep. 2019

#### **Emission estimates #1: plume analysis**





#### Montreal, Canada

Fitting TROPOMI NO2 data with a statistical model with empirical plume dispersion functions driven by a meteorological reanalysis.

- multiple point sources
- area sources

Make use of:

- point source locations
- population density
- elevation

#### Fioletov et al.,

https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-4201-2022

Can be used to create point source emission catalogue

### **Emission estimates #2: flux divergence approach**





One overpass over Riyadh

Yearly mean concentration

Yearly mean emission

Flux divergence method, Beirle et al., Science Adv. 2019

### **Emission estimates #2: flux divergence approach**





Method improvements

- Profile shape (plume height)
- Lifetime
- NO2/NOx ratio

Figure 8. Location of point sources listed in v2 of the catalog. Matches in GPPD and/or WCD are indicated by colors as in Fig. 7. The background map highlights regions with high LER, where a detection limit of  $0.03 \text{ kg s}^{-1}$  is assumed.

Improved catalogue of NO2 point source emissions Beirle et al., ESSD, 2023 Global point source catalogue identifying power plants, cities and other sources

### **Emission estimate #3: Inverse modelling and data assimilation**

Match of **satellite observations** and **chemical-transport model** simulations via **data assimilation**:

- Kalman Filter (DECSO algorithm of KNMI) SEEDS
  - Based on French CHIMERE model
  - Fast, only one model run needed
  - No a-priori needed, unknown sources
  - Error estimates
- *4D-Var or Ensemble Kalman Filter approaches* More computer-intense to run. Development of 4D-Var adjoint.
  - Ex: EnKF global tropospheric multi-species reanalyses Optimising concentrations + emissions; TCR-2, Miyazaki et al., ESSD, 2020.
  - Ex: Magritte inversion of HCHO for Isoprene emissions SEEDS
  - Ex: Development of the 4DEnVar system, Emanuele Emili SEEDS
  - CAMS is now developing emission inversion capabilities in IFS-COMPO.
    Building on SEEDS products and developments.



#### Three approaches for emission estimates: Pros and Cons

#### Plume analysis:

- > Pro: Analyse individual plumes on daily basis
- > Pro: Derive lifetime from plume shape
- > Con: Overlapping plumes more messy.
- Con: Atmospheric transport does not always lead to well-defined single plumes: turbulence, wind sheer, orography
- > Con: Emissions are retrieval a-priori dependent

#### **Emission estimates: Pros and Cons**

#### Flux divergence method:

- > Pro: Easy to implement, fast to run
- > Pro: No identification of plumes needed
- > Pro: High spatial resolution, good for creating point-source catalogues
- Cons:
  - \* Emissions depend on the retrieval a-priori, typically (low) biased in simplest approach Good quantitative results requires additional complexity and corrections.
  - Lifetime most difficult part: Use of OH from model often very uncertain / model dependent Spurious background emissions
  - Noisy: can not be used for individual days.
    Typically results averaged, producing monthly-to-yearly emission maps

#### **Emission estimates: Pros and Cons**

#### Inverse modelling methods:

- Pro: Using state-of-the-art chemistry modelling to relate concentrations to emissions, based on NWP weather analyses.
- > Pro: Full 3D approach, modelling of vertical mixing and 3D transport of profiles
- Pro: Averaging kernels can be used, making emission estimates independent of the retrieval a-priori
- Con: Model uncertainties and error covariances, and final emission uncertainty in practice difficult to quantify
- Con: Often inversion systems dependent on a-priori emissions (by design) (optimise scaling factors of existing bottom-up emission inventory)
   -> DECSO approach is exception.
- > Con: Large-scale computing, big codes, more specialised

# **Verification of NOx emissions: DECSO versus Flux-divergence**

## **Flux-divergence**

Sentinel-5P, JJA-2019, NOx emissions derived from NO<sub>2</sub> flux divergence, tau=4h



NOx emissions derived from flux divergence (nmol/m<sup>2</sup>/s) -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30

DECSO

DECSO NOx emissions dervied from TROPOMI, July 2019





# Using satellites to derive emissions



#### Three groups of approaches:

- **O** Plume fitting methods
- O Flux divergence approach
- O Inverse modelling approaches

There are strengths and weaknesses in each of these approaches.

They are based on very different inputs, tools and assumptions, highly complementary.

### Conclusion:

We can learn about the top-down emission uncertainties by comparing the results of different emission estimation approaches

